ON THE NON-COMMUTATIVE NEUTRIX PRODUCT OF THE DISTRIBUTIONS $\delta^{(r)}(x)$ AND $x^{-s} \ln^m |x|$

BRIAN FISHER, INCI EGE AND EMIN ÖZÇAĞ

ABSTRACT. It is proved that the non-commutative neutrix product of the distributions $\delta^{(r)}(x)$ and $x^{-s} \ln^m |x|$ exists and

 $\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ x^{-s} \ln^m |x| = 0$ for $r, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $s = 1, 2, \dots$.

In the following, we let \mathcal{D} be the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support and let \mathcal{D}' be the space of distributions defined on \mathcal{D} .

We now let ρ be a function in \mathcal{D} having the following properties:

(i) $\rho(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| \ge 1,$ (ii) $\rho(x) \ge 0,$ (iii) $\rho(x) = \rho(-x),$ (iv) $\int_{-1}^{1} \rho(x) dx = 1.$

Putting $\delta_n(x) = n\rho(nx)$ for n = 1, 2, ..., it follows that $\{\delta_n(x)\}$ is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the Dirac delta-function $\delta(x)$.

If now f is an arbitrary distribution in \mathcal{D}' , we define

$$f_n(x) = (f * \delta_n)(x) = \langle f(t), \delta_n(x-t) \rangle$$

for n = 1, 2, ... It follows that $\{f_n(x)\}$ is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the distribution f(x).

A first extension of the product of a distribution and an infinitely differentiable function is the following, see for example [2].

Definition 1. Let f and g be distributions in \mathcal{D}' for which on the interval (a, b), f is the k-th derivative of a locally summable function F in $L^p(a, b)$ and $g^{(k)}$ is a locally summable function in $L^q(a, b)$ with 1/p+1/q=1. Then the product fg = gf of f and g is defined on the interval (a, b) by

$$fg = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{i} [Fg^{(i)}]^{(k-i)}.$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 46F10.

Key words and phrases. Distribution, delta-function, neutrix, neutrix limit, neutrix product.

The distribution $x^{-1} \ln^m |x|$ is defined by

$$x^{-1}\ln^m |x| = \frac{(\ln^{m+1} |x|)'}{m+1}$$

for $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. The distribution x^{-s} is then defined by

$$x^{-s} = \frac{(-1)^s (\ln|x|)^{(s)}}{(s-1)!}$$

for s = 1, 2, ... and the distribution $x^{-s} \ln^m |x|$ is then defined inductively by the equation

$$(x^{-s+1}\ln^m |x|)' = -(s-1)x^{-s}\ln^m |x| + mx^{-s}\ln^{m-1} |x|$$

for s, m = 0, 1, 2, ...

It follows that

$$\begin{split} \langle x^{-2s+1} \ln^m |x|, \varphi(x) \rangle &= \int_0^\infty x^{-2s+1} \ln^m x \Big[\varphi(x) - \varphi(-x) \\ &- 2 \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} \frac{\varphi^{(2i+1)}(0)}{(2i+1)!} x^{2i+1} \Big] dx, \\ \langle x^{-2s} \ln^m |x|, \varphi(x) \rangle &= \int_0^\infty x^{-2s} \ln^m x \Big[\varphi(x) + \varphi(-x) \\ &- 2 \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \frac{\varphi^{(2i)}(0)}{(2i)!} x^{2i} \Big] dx \end{split}$$

for s = 1, 2, ... and m = 0, 1, 2, ..., where φ is an arbitrary function in \mathcal{D} , see Gel'fand and Shilov [4].

The next definition for the non-commutative neutrix product of two distributions was given in [3] and generalizes Definition 1.

Definition 2. Let f and g be distributions in \mathcal{D}' and let $g_n(x) = (g * \delta_n)(x)$. We say that the neutrix product $f \circ g$ of f and g exists and is equal to the distribution h on the interval (a, b) if

$$\underset{n \to \infty}{\mathsf{N-lim}} \langle f(x)g_n(x), \varphi(x) \rangle = \langle h(x), \varphi(x) \rangle$$

for all functions φ in \mathcal{D} with support contained in the interval (a, b), where N is the neutrix, see van der Corput [1], having domain $N' = \{1, 2, \ldots, n, \ldots\}$ and range the real numbers, with negligible functions finite linear sums of the functions

$$n^{\lambda} \ln^{r-1} n$$
, $\ln^r n$: $\lambda > 0$, $r = 1, 2, ...$

and all functions which converge to zero in the normal sense as n tends to infinity.

It is obvious that if the product fg exists, then the neutrix product $f \circ g$ exists and $fg = f \circ g$.

The following theorem is easily proved.

Theorem 1. Let f and g be distributions in \mathcal{D}' and suppose that the neutrix product $f \circ g'$ (or $f' \circ g$) exists. Then the neutrix product $f' \circ g$ (or $f \circ g'$) exists and

$$(f \circ g)' = f \circ g' + f' \circ g. \tag{1}$$

Using the neutrix product, the next theorem was proved in [3].

Theorem 2. The neutrix products $\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ x^{-s}$ and $x^{-s} \circ \delta^{(r)}(x)$ exist and

$$\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ x^{-s} = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$x^{-s} \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^{s} r!}{(r+s)!} \delta^{(r+s)}(x)$$
(3)

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $s = 1, 2, \dots$

We first of all prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3. The neutrix product $\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ \ln^m |x|$ exists and

$$\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ \ln^m |x| = 2c_m \delta^{(r)}(x), \tag{4}$$

for r = 0, 1, 2, ... and m = 1, 2, ..., where

$$c_m = \int_0^1 \ln^m u \delta(u) \, du$$

for m = 1, 2, ...

Proof. Putting

$$(\ln^m |x|)_n = \ln^m |x| * \delta_n(x) = \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} \ln^m |x - t| \delta_n(t) dt,$$

we have

$$\begin{split} \langle \delta^{(r)}(x), x^k (\ln^m |x|)_n \rangle &= (-1)^r \langle \delta(x), [x^k (\ln^m |x|)_n]^{(r)} \rangle \\ &= (-1)^r \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{r}{i} \frac{k!}{(k-i)!} \langle \delta(x), x^{k-i} [(\ln^m |x|)_n]^{(r-i)} \rangle \\ &= (-1)^r k! \binom{r}{k} \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} \ln^m |t| \delta_n^{(r-k)}(t) \, dt \\ &= (-1)^k k! n^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} \int_{-1}^1 \ln^m |u/n| \rho^{(r-k)}(u) \, du, \end{split}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots r - 1$. It follows that

$$\underset{n \to \infty}{\operatorname{N-lim}} \langle \delta^{(r)}(x), x^k (\ln^m |x|)_n \rangle = 0,$$
(5)

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$.

When k = r, we have

$$\langle \delta^{(r)}(x), x^r (\ln^m |x|)_n \rangle = (-1)^r r! \int_{-1}^1 \ln^m |u/n| \rho(u) \, du$$

and it follows that

$$\underset{n \to \infty}{\operatorname{N-lim}} \langle \delta^{(r)}(x), x^r (\ln^m |x|)_n \rangle = (-1)^r r! \int_{-1}^1 \ln^m |u| \rho(u) \, du = 2(-1)^r r! c_m.$$
(6)

When k = r + 1, we have for an arbitrary infinitely differentiable function ψ ,

$$\langle \delta^{(r)}(x), x^{r+1} (\ln^m |x|)_n \psi(x) \rangle = (-1)^r \langle \delta(x), [x^{r+1} (\ln^m |x|)_n \psi(x)]^{(r)} \rangle$$

= 0. (7)

If now φ is an arbitrary function in \mathcal{D} , we have

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^{k} + \frac{\varphi^{(r+1)}(\xi x)}{(r+1)!} x^{r+1},$$

where $0 < \xi < 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{split} \langle \delta^{(r)}(x)(\ln^m |x|)_n, \varphi(x) \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \langle \delta^{(r)}(x), x^k(\ln^m |x|)_n \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{(r+1)!} \langle \delta^{(r)}(x), x^{r+1}(\ln^m |x|)_n \varphi^{(r+1)}(\xi x) \rangle \end{split}$$

and it now follows from equations (5) to (7) that

$$N-\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle \delta^{(r)}(x)(\ln^m |x|)_n, \varphi(x) \rangle = 2(-1)^r c_m \varphi^{(r)}(0)$$
$$= 2c_m \langle \delta^{(r)}(x), \varphi(x) \rangle$$

proving equation (4) for r = 0, 1, 2, ... and m = 1, 2, ... This completes the proof of the theorem.

We now prove

Theorem 4. The neutrix product $\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-s} \ln^m |x|)$ exists and

$$\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-s} \ln^m |x|) = 0, \tag{8}$$

for r = 0, 1, 2, ... and s, m = 1, 2, ...

Proof. We first of all prove that

$$\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-1} \ln^m |x|) = 0 \tag{9}$$

for $r, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Differentiating the equation

$$\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ \ln^{m+1} |x| = 2c_{m+1}\delta^{(r)}(x)$$

and using Theorem 3, we have

$$\delta^{(r+1)}(x) \circ \ln^{m+1}|x| + (m+1)\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-1}\ln^m|x|) = 2c_{m+1}\delta^{(r+1)}(x)$$

and equation (9) follows.

Next, suppose that $\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-2} \ln^m |x|)$ exists and

$$\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-2} \ln^m |x|) = 0 \tag{10}$$

for r = 0, 1, 2, ... and some m. This is true when m = 0. Differentiating the equation

$$\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-1} \ln^{m+1} |x|) = 0$$

we get

$$\delta^{(r+1)}(x) \circ (x^{-1}\ln^{m+1}|x|) - \delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-2}\ln^{m+1}|x|) + (m+1)\delta^{(r)}(x)$$
$$\circ (x^{-2}\ln^{m}|x|) = -\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-2}\ln^{m+1}|x|) = 0$$

on using equation (9) and our assumption. Equation (10) follows by induction for $r, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

We have therefore proved that

$$\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-i} \ln^m |x|) = 0$$
(11)

for i = 1, 2 and $r, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We can now prove similarly that

$$\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-3} \ln^m |x|) = 0$$

for r, m = 0, 1, 2, ... and so on.

In general, suppose that equation (11) holds for i = 1, 2, ..., s and some s and r, m = 0, 1, 2, ... This is true when s = 1 or 2. Then suppose that

$$\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-s-1} \ln^m |x|) = 0, \tag{12}$$

for r = 0, 1, 2, ... and some m. This is true when m = 0. From our assumption on equation (11) with i = s and m + 1 for m, we have

$$\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-s} \ln^{m+1} |x|) = 0.$$
(13)

Differentiating equation (13), we have

$$\delta^{(r+1)}(x) \circ (x^{-s} \ln^{m+1} |x|) - s\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-s-1} \ln^{m+1} |x|) + (m+1)\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-s-1} \ln^m |x|) = 0$$

and it follows from our assumptions that

$$\delta^{(r)}(x) \circ (x^{-s-1} \ln^{m+1} |x|) = 0.$$

Equation (12) follows by induction for $r, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Equation (11) therefore holds $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s + 1$ and $r, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and so follows by induction for $r, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $s = 1, 2, \ldots$ This completes the proof of the theorem.

In the next theorem we put

$$c_{r,m} = \int_0^1 u^r \ln^m u \rho^{(r)}(u) \, du$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $m = 1, 2, \ldots$. Integrating by parts, we have

$$c_{r,m} = -\int_0^1 [mu^{r-1} \ln^{m-1} u + ru^{r-1} \ln^m u] \rho^{(r-1)}(u) du$$

= $-mc_{r-1,m-1} - rc_{r-1,m},$
 $c_{1,m} = -mc_{0,m-1} - c_{0,m},$
= $-mc_{m-1} - c_m.$

It follows by induction that

$$c_{r,m} = -(-1)^{r} r! c_{m} - mr! \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{(-1)^{i} c_{r-i,m-1}}{(r-i+1)!}$$
$$= (-1)^{r} r! c_{m} + (-1)^{r} mr! c_{m-1} + mr! \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^{i} c_{r-i,m-1}}{(r-i+1)!}$$

and so each $c_{r,m}$ can be expressed as a linear sum of c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_m for $r, m = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Theorem 5. The neutrix product $\ln^m |x| \circ \delta^{(r)}(x)$ exists and

$$\ln^{m} |x| \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = \frac{2(-1)^{r} c_{r,m}}{r!} \delta^{(r)}(x)$$
(14)

for r = 0, 1, 2, ... and m = 1, 2, ...

Proof. We have

$$\langle \ln^m |x|, x^k \delta_n^{(r)}(x) \rangle = \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} \ln^m |x| x^k \delta_n^{(r)}(x) \, dx$$
$$= n^{r-k} \int_{-1}^1 \ln^m |u/n| u^k \rho^{(r)}(u) \, du$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ It follows that

$$\underset{n \to \infty}{\operatorname{N-lim}} \langle \ln^m |x|, x^k \delta_n^{(r)}(x) \rangle = 0, \qquad (15)$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$. When k = r we have

When
$$\kappa = r$$
, we have

$$\langle \ln^m |x|, x^r \delta_n^{(r)}(x) \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 u^r \ln^m |u/n| \rho^{(r)}(u) \, du$$

and it follows that

$$\underset{n \to \infty}{\text{N-lim}} \langle \ln^m | x |, x^r \delta_n^{(r)}(x) \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 u^r \ln^m | u | \rho^{(r)}(u) \, du = 2c_{r,m}.$$
(16)

When k=r+1, we have for an arbitrary infinitely differentiable function $\psi,$

$$\langle \ln^m |x|, x^{r+1} \delta_n^{(r)}(x) \psi(x) \rangle = n^{-1} \int_{-1}^1 u^{r+1} \ln^m |u/n| \rho^{(r)}(u) \psi(u/n) \, du$$

= $O(n^{-1} \ln^m n).$ (17)

If now φ is an arbitrary function in \mathcal{D} , we have

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^{k} + \frac{\varphi^{(r+1)}(\xi x)}{(r+1)!} x^{r+1},$$

where $0 < \xi < 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \ln^{m} |x|, \delta_{n}^{(r)}(x)\varphi(x)\rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{r} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \langle \ln^{m} |x|, x^{k}\delta_{n}^{(r)}(x)\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{(r+1)!} \langle \ln^{m} |x|, x^{r+1}\delta_{n}^{(r)}(x), \varphi^{(r+1)}(\xi x)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and it now follows from equations (15) to (17) that

$$\begin{split} \underset{n \to \infty}{\operatorname{N-lim}} \langle \ln^m | x |, \delta_n^{(r)}(x)\varphi(x) \rangle &= \frac{2c_{r,m}}{r!}\varphi^{(r)}(0) \\ &= \frac{2(-1)^r c_{r,m}}{r!} \langle \delta^{(r)}(x), \varphi(x) \rangle, \end{split}$$

proving equation (14) for r = 0, 1, 2, ... and m = 1, 2, ... This completes the proof of the theorem.

We finally prove the following generalization of equation (3).

Theorem 6. The neutrix product $(x^{-s} \ln^m |x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x)$ exists for r = 0, 1, ...and s, m = 1, 2, ...

In particular,

$$(x^{-1}\ln^m |x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = \frac{2(-1)^{r+1}c_{r,m}}{(r+1)!}\delta^{(r+1)}(x)$$
(18)

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. We first of all prove equation (18). We have

$$(m+1)\langle x^{-1}\ln^{m}|x|, x^{k}\delta_{n}^{(r)}(x)\rangle = -\int_{-1/n}^{1/n}\ln^{m+1}|x|[x^{k}\delta_{n}^{(r)}(x)]' dx$$
$$= -n^{r-k+1}\int_{-1}^{1}[\ln|u| - \ln n]^{m+1}[u^{k}\rho^{(r)}(u)]' du \quad (19)$$

on making the substitution nx = u. It follows that

$$\underset{n \to \infty}{\operatorname{N-lim}} \langle x^{-1} \ln^m | x |, x^k \delta_n^{(r)}(x) \rangle = 0$$
(20)

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r$,

$$\begin{split} \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{N-lim}} \langle x^{-1} \ln^m |x|, x^{r+1} \delta_n^{(r+1)}(x) \rangle &= -(m+1)^{-1} \int_{-1}^{1} \ln^{m+1} |u| [u^{r+1} \rho^{(r)}(u)]' \, du \\ &= \int_{-1}^{1} u^r \ln^m |u| \rho^{(r)}(u) \, du \\ &= 2c_{r,m} \end{split}$$
(21)

when k = r + 1 and

$$\underset{n \to \infty}{\operatorname{N-lim}} \langle x^{-1} \ln^m | x |, x^{r+1} \delta_n^{(r)}(x) \psi(x) \rangle = 0$$
(22)

for any infinitely differentiable function $\psi(x)$, when k = r + 2. If now φ is an arbitrary function in \mathcal{D} , we have

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k + \frac{\varphi^{(r+1)}(\xi x)}{(r+2)!} x^{r+2},$$

where $0 < \xi < 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^{-1} \ln^m |x|, \delta_n^{(r)}(x)\varphi(x) \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \langle x^{-1} \ln^m |x|, x^k \delta_n^{(r)}(x) \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{(r+2)!} \langle \ln^m |x|, x^{r+2} \delta_n^{(r+1)}(x), \varphi^{(r+2)}(\xi x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and it now follows from equations (20) to (22) that

$$\begin{split} N_{n \to \infty} &\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle x^{-1} \ln^m |x|, \delta_n^{(r)}(x)\varphi(x) \rangle = \frac{2c_{r,m}}{(r+1)!} \varphi^{(r+1)}(0) \\ &= \frac{2(-1)^{r+1}c_{r,m}}{(r+1)!} \langle \delta^{(r+1)}(x), \varphi(x) \rangle, \end{split}$$

proving equation (18) for r = 0, 1, 2, ... and m = 1, 2, ...

Next, suppose that $(x^{-2} \ln^m |x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x)$ exists and

$$(x^{-2}\ln^m |x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = c_{r,2,m} \delta^{(r+2)}(x)$$
(23)

for r = 0, 1, 2, ... and some m. This is true when m = 0 with $c_{r,2,0} = r!/(r+2)!$. Differentiating the equation

$$(x^{-1}\ln^{m+1}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = \frac{2(-1)^{r+1}c_{r,m}}{(r+1)!}\delta^{(r+1)}(x)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} (x^{-1}\ln^{m+1}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r+1)}(x) &- (x^{-2}\ln^{m+1}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) \\ &+ (m+1)(x^{-2}\ln^{m}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = \frac{2(-1)^{r+1}c_{r,m}}{(r+1)!}\delta^{(r+2)}(x) \\ &= \frac{2(-1)^{r+2}c_{r+1,m}}{(r+2)!}\delta^{(r+2)}(x) - (x^{-2}\ln^{m+1}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) \\ &+ (m+1)c_{r,2,m}\delta^{(r+2)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

on using equation (18) and our assumption. This proves the existence of $(x^{-2}\ln^{m+1}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x)$ and

$$(x^{-2}\ln^{m+1}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = c_{r,2,m+1}\delta^{(r+2)}(x)$$

with

$$c_{r,2,m+1} = \frac{2(-1)^r c_{r,m}}{(r+1)!} + \frac{2(-1)^r c_{r+1,m}}{(r+2)!} + (m+1)c_{r,2,m}.$$

Therefore $(x^{-2}\ln^{m+1}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x)$ exists and equation (23) follows by induction for $r, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

We have therefore proved that

$$(x^{-i}\ln^m |x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = c_{r,i,m} \delta^{(r+i)}(x)$$
(24)

for i = 1, 2 and $r, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We can now prove similarly that

$$(x^{-3}\ln^m |x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = c_{r,3,m} \delta^{(r+3)}(x)$$

for r, m = 0, 1, 2, ... and so on.

In general, suppose that equation (24) holds for i = 1, 2, ..., s and some s and r, m = 0, 1, 2, ... This is true when s = 1 or 2. Then suppose that $(x^{-s-1} \ln^m |x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x)$ exists and

$$(x^{-s-1}\ln^m |x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = c_{r,s+1,m} \delta^{(r+s+1)}(x),$$
(25)

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and some m. This is true with

$$c_{r,s+1,0} = \frac{(-1)^{s+1}r!}{(r+s+1)!},$$

when m = 0. From our assumption on equation (24) with i = s and m + 1 for m, we have

$$(x^{-s}\ln^{m+1}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = c_{r,s,m+1}\delta^{(r+s)}(x).$$
(26)

Differentiating equation (26), we have

$$(x^{-s}\ln^{m+1}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r+1)}(x) - s(x^{-s-1}\ln^{m+1}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) + (m+1)(x^{-s-1}\ln^{m}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = [c_{r+1,s,m+1} + (m+1)c_{r,s+1,m}]\delta^{(r+s+1)}(x) - s(x^{-s-1}\ln^{m+1}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = c_{r,s,m+1}\delta^{(r+s+1)}(x),$$

from our assumptions and it follows that

$$(x^{-s-1}\ln^{m+1}|x|) \circ \delta^{(r)}(x) = s^{-1}[c_{r+1,s,m+1} + (m+1)c_{r,s+1,m} - c_{r,s,m+1}]\delta^{(r+s+1)}(x)$$
$$= c_{r,s+1,m+1}\delta^{(r+s+1)}(x).$$

Equation (25) therefore holds for m + 1, with

$$c_{r,s+1,m+1} = s^{-1}[c_{r+1,s,m+1} + (m+1)c_{r,s+1,m} - c_{r,s+1,m}],$$

and so follows by induction for $r, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Then equation (24) holds for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s+1$. Equation (24) follows by induction for $r, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $s = 1, 2, \ldots$ This completes the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for his help in the improvement of this paper.

References

- J.G. van der Corput, Introduction to the neutrix calculus, J. Anal. Math., 7 (1959-60), 291–398.
- [2] B. Fisher, The product of distributions, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, 22 (2) (1971), 291– 298.
- [3] B. Fisher, A non-commutative neutrix product of distributions, Math. Nachr., 108 (1982), 117–127.
- [4] I.M. Gel'fand and G.E. Shilov, Generalized Functions, Vol. I, Academic Press, 1964.

(Received: April 12, 2006) (Revised: June 23, 2006) B. Fisher
Department of Mathematics
Leicester University
Leicester, LE1 7RH, England
E-mail: fbr@le.ac.uk

I. Ege and E. Özçag Department of Mathematics University of Hacettepe 06532-Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey E-mail: incicankoy@hotmail.com E-mail: ozcag1@hacettepe.edu.tr